

**Remarks**

**Specification**

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

In response to this rejection, applicant has canceled claims 1-18 and added new claims 19-37, and submits herewith a Substitute Specification to replace the original specification since the original specification was submitted in error. It is noted that the drawings are still correct.

To establish eligibility/legitimacy of the substitute specification, Applicant submits herewith an English translation to the original prior foreign application specification/drawings which was submitted in the initial filing. Thus, Applicant asserts that new claims 19-37 and the Substitute Specification have been supportably disclosed in the drawings and the prior foreign application specification/drawings, i.e., the foreign certified copy on which the priority was claimed, originally filed at the Office on the filing date. Therefore, there is no new matter entered.

Therefore, Applicant submits that the Substitute Specification provides proper antecedent basis for new claims 19-37. The objection is believed to be overcome, and withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

**Double Patenting**

Claims 1-18 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/810,151 (US 2004/0192152).

Appl. No. 10/811,414  
Amdt. Dated January 4, 2006  
Reply to Office Action of October 4, 2005


In response to this rejection, applicant has canceled claims 1-18 and added new claims 19-37 (see above). There is no new matter entered. Applicant asserts that the subject matter of new claims 19-37 is patentably different from the subject matter of claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/810,151. Therefore, the rejection is believed to be overcome, and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

*Applicant apologizes for any inconvenience or confusion in the instant application caused by applicant's wrong submission of the original specification which is same as that of the aforementioned copending application 10/810,151. Anyhow, the substitute specification submitted therewith can efficiently cure such problems.*

In view of the above-described specification and claim amendments and remarks, the subject application is believed to be in a condition for allowance, and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
Liang Liu et al.

By



Wei Te Chung  
Registration No.: 43,325  
Foxconn International, Inc.  
P.O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050  
Tel. No.: (408) 919-6137